

UNITED CTATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

TFV

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,593	07/28/2003	Joel C. Trusty	11111-43236	4634	
759	90 08/24/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Michael A. My	ers	·	. DANIELS, M	IATTHEW J	
BINGHAM Mci 2700 Market To			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
10 West Market			1732		
Indianapolis, IN	J 46204-4900	•	DATE MAILED: 08/24/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED OIPE/IAP

SEP 0 9 2005

NEW CENTRAL FAX NUMBER

Effective July 15, 2005

On <u>July 15, 2005</u>, the Central FAX Number will change to **571-273-8300**. This new Central FAX Number is the result of relocating the Central FAX server to the Office's Alexandria, Virginia campus.

Most facsimile-transmitted patent application related correspondence is required to be sent to the Central FAX Number. To give customers time to adjust to the new Central FAX Number, faxes sent to the old number (703-872-9306) will be routed to the new number until September 15, 2005. After September 15, 2005, the old number will no longer be in service and 571-273-8300 will be the only facsimile number recognized for "centralized delivery".

CENTRALIZED DELIVERY POLICY: For patent related correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies. For example, if the examiner has rejected claims in a regular U.S. patent application, and the reply to the examiner's Office action is desired to be transmitted by facsimile rather than mailed, the reply must be sent to the Central FAX Number.

		\mathcal{M}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/628,593	TRUSTY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Matthew J. Daniels	1732
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	oears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		·
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 J	<u>uly 2005</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16,18-20</u> is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.	
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	
	or oroginal roquitations.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminor
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	· ·
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
•		1
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	
1. Certified copies of the priority document2. Certified copies of the priority document		on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		
application from the International Burea	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.
,		
Attachment(s)	<u>_</u>	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/28/03</u> .	6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I (Claim 17) in the reply filed on 22 July 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claim is specifically rejected for use of "appropriate" in portions (c), (d), (e), (f), and (j). Limitations drawn to something being "appropriate" are deemed to be indefinite because determination of appropriateness may be different for each individual reading the claim. Appropriate revision is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1732

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 3. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cohan (USPN 3333300). The limitations (a), (b), and (d) are deemed to be drawn to a particular intended use. However, the intended use does not materially affect the claimed method, and therefore these limitations are not given patentable weight. Because the reference to Cohan meets the method limitations, and because it could perform the same intended use as that sought by the claim, it is deemed to anticipate the claimed subject matter. Also, one would inherently take the desired measurements before manufacturing a part to meet the necessary dimensions. As to Claim 17, Cohan teaches the following:
- (c) selecting an appropriate core element for a mold approximating the dimensions of the workpiece (Fig. 2, Item 84)
- (e) selecting an appropriate flange forming mold providing a mounting flange of the appropriate dimensions to be mounted on the back end of a turning machine (Figs. 3 and 4)
- (f) selecting an appropriate top or end cap to fit the selected tubular mold portion (Fig. 2, Item 16 or 50)
- (g) assembling the flange-forming mold portion to the tubular mold position (Fig. 2)
- (h) securing the central core element interiorly of the tubular mold portion by placing the top cap atop the open end of the tubular mold portion (Fig. 2 in its entirety) and securing the upper end of the core element by way of a fixing element (Fig. 2, Item 82)
- (i) introducing plastic material in a molten state into the interior of the mold so formed through a fill hole provided in the top cap until the interior void of the mold is substantially filled (See Fig. 7, Item 128, and Fig. 10)

Art Unit: 1732

(j) allowing the liner once formed to set up for an appropriate length of time to permit the plastic material to cure to a sufficient state of hardness (this aspect is inherent in that a shaped article is removed from the mold, see Fig. 7)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cohan (USPN 3333300) in view of Syenson (USPN 1909135). The limitations (a), (b), and (d) are deemed to be drawn to a particular intended use. The intended use does not materially affect the claimed method, and therefore these limitations are not given patentable weight. However, in the alternative, the subject matter of the intended use limitations would have still been prima facie obvious because one would obviously take the desired measurements before manufacturing a part to meet the necessary dimensions. As to Claim 17, Cohan teaches the following:

 (c) selecting an appropriate core element for a mold approximating the dimensions of the workpiece (Fig. 2, Item 84)
- (e) selecting an appropriate flange forming mold providing a mounting flange of the appropriate dimensions to be mounted on the back end of a turning machine (Figs. 3 and 4)
- (f) selecting an appropriate top or end cap to fit the selected tubular mold portion (Fig. 2, Item 16 or 50)

Art Unit: 1732

- (g) assembling the flange-forming mold portion to the tubular mold position (Fig. 2)
- (h) securing the central core element interiorly of the tubular mold portion by placing the top cap atop the open end of the tubular mold portion (Fig. 2 in its entirety) and securing the upper end of the core element by way of a fixing element (Fig. 2, Item 82)
- (i) introducing plastic material in a molten state into the interior of the mold so formed through a fill hole provided in the top cap until the interior void of the mold is substantially filled (See Fig. 7, Item 128, and Fig. 10)
- (j) allowing the liner once formed to set up for an appropriate length of time to permit the plastic material to cure to a sufficient state of hardness (this aspect is inherent in that a shaped article is formed, see Fig. 7)

Cohan appears to be silent to the subject matter of limitations (a), (b), and (d). However, they would have been prima facie obvious over Svenson, who teaches the following:

- (a) determining the outer dimension of the shaft (inherent or obvious in that bushing, Item 13, is designed and intended to fit over the shaft, Item 1)
- (b) determining the inner diameter of the spindle of the turning machine (inherent or obvious in that the bearing sleeve, Item 15, is designed and intended to fit between the bushing, Item 13, and the support, Item 3)
- (d) Svenson teaches that wear is intended to occur evenly between the bushing, Item 13, and the sleeve, Item 15 (See Page 2, lines 32-35). In view of Svenson's teaching that wear is intended to occur between Items 13 and 15, it would have been prima facie obvious to design the inner diameter slightly smaller than the spindle in order to desirably avoid wear on the spindle by ensuring a snug fit of the bushing (Item 13) to the spindle. Svenson also teaches that rotative

Art Unit: 1732

movement between spindle and bushing is undesirable (Page 1, lines 96-101), and thus a snug fit appears obvious. By the configuration between the bushing (Item 13) and sleeve (Item 15), it would have been obvious to select "appropriate dimensions" for mounting into the sleeve.

It should be noted that Svenson's invention is directed at the lathe spindle, and appears to be silent to work holding means. However, Svenson's invention is directed to preventing eccentricity (See Page 1, lines 8-30), and utilizes a bushing (Item 13) and bearings (Items 24-26) in order to prevent eccentricity in a rotating shaft. In the method of making, the bushings would have performed the same intended use upon the spindle, and thus meet the claim limitations directed to the intended use. It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of Svenson into that of Cohan for two reasons:

- (a) Cohan specifically suggests spindles (3:22-24)
- (b) Cohan teaches a method that effectively changes the location of the parting plane (in the mold) so that the bearing surface of the roller (the outside diameter) is smooth, uninterrupted, and free rolling because there is no gate upon that surface, the gate being located in a harmless position on the side (4:22-29). This effect would have been obviously desirable for an intended use as a bushing in which even wear with the sleeve was desired (See Svenson, Page 2, lines 30-35, and Items 13 and 15 in the figure).

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Daniels whose telephone number is (571) 272-2450. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MJD 8/16/05

MICHAEL P. COLAIANNI
OHIDEDARGORY PATENT EXAMINER

Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0551-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it contains a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO	Complete if Known		
	Application Number	Not Yet Assigned	
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE	Filing Date	July 28, 2003	
	First Named Inventor	Trusty, Joel C.	
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT	Art Unit	Not Yet Assigned	***************************************
(Use as many sheets as necessary)	Exeminer Name	Not Yet Assigned	
Sheet 1 of 2	Attorney Docket Number	11111-43236	

			U. S. PATENT	DOCUMENTS	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Document Number	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
127		Number-Kind Code ^{2 (7 known)}	07.00.4007	Domo et el	
W20		^{US-} 5,649,460	07-22-1997	Berns et al.	
		^{US-} 4,788,895	12-06-1988	Spooner	
		^{US-} 5,927,169	07-27-1999	Hinson	
		^{US-} 4,870,880	10-03-1989	Cantabery	
		^{US-} 6,227,084 B1	06-08-2001	Cucchi	
		^{US-} 4,526,072	07-02-1985	Manhoff, Jr.	
<u>.</u>		^{US-} 4,930,381	06-05-1990	Spooner	
W		US- 4,058,036	11-15-1977	Austin	
MSD		^{US-} 4,149,437	04-17-1979	Winberg et al.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		US-			
	-	US-			
		US-	1		

			IGN PATENT DOCU			
Examiner	Cite	Foreign Patent Document	Publication	Name of Patentee or	Pages, Columns, Lines,	
Initials*	No.'		MM-DD-YYYY	Applicant of Cited Document	Where Relevant Passages Or Relevant Figures Appear	1 +
		Country Code ³ "Number ⁴ "Kind Code ⁸ (if known)	MIM-DD-1111	·	Of Relevant Figures Appear	<u>L'</u>
			1 1	1 1		
			1/1/	0115		
				11/7		L
					<i>Y</i>	
-						
				7		
F	7			Date		
Examiner Signature		Mary Days	Daniels		8/1/2/05	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. \(^1\) Applicant is designation number (optional). \(^1\) See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 801.04. \(^1\) Enter Office that Issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). \(^1\) For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. \(^1\) Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. \(^1\) Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is statched.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce. Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/08B (04-03) Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031

	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. I	DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
I Index the Deserved Deduction Act of 1995, as persons are required to mesend	to a collection of information unless it conta	and a united OMD panetral according

Substitu	Substitute for form 1449/PTO			C mplete if Known		
Cubana				Applicati n Number	Not Yet Assigned	
INF	ORMATIO	N DIS	CLOSURE	Filing Date	July 28, 2003	
STA	STATEMENT BY APPLICANT			First Named Inventor	Trusty, Joel C.	
	(Use as many si	ante ac n	000000000	Art Unit	Not Yet Assigned	
	(Cat as many at			Examiner Name	Not Yet Assigned	
Sheet	Sheet 2 of 2		Attorney Docket Number	11111-43236		

Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.	T ²
MZD		TRUSTY-COOK INCORPORATED, "Compothane Hush Tubes," promotional piece, date unknown, USA	

danie 15 1 Court Considered

1 Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). 2 Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 120 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

					Reexamin		Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination TRUSTY ET AL.	nation	
		Notice of Reference	s Cited		Examiner Art Unit			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	•				Matthew J. Daniels 1732			Page 1 of 1	
L				II S DA	ATENT DOCUM		1732	1	
*	l								
*		Country Code-Number-Kind Code	MM-YYYY	001144			Classification		
*	A	US-3,333,300	08-1967	 	NORMAN H			425/443	
Ë	В	US-1,909,135	05-1933	SVENS	ON ERNEST	J		384/271	
	С	US-	ļ						
	D	US-							
	E	US-							
	F	US-							
	G	US-							
	н	US-							
	1	US-							
	J	US-							
	к	US-							
	L	US-							
	M ·	US-						W-16.	
<u> </u>	<u> </u>		***************************************	FOREIGN	PATENT DO	CUMENTS			
*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY		Country	Name		Classification	
	N								
	0								
	Р								
	Q								
	R								
	s								
	Т								
L	!	<u> </u>		NON-P	ATENT DOCU	MENTS			
*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)							
	U								
	v								
	w								
<u> </u>					 .		-		

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).)

Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Х

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTED

P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN



AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204

